

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA**

<b>CHARLES MORROW, MICHAEL</b>	)	
<b>OVERTON, JAMES MARTY SMITH,</b>	)	
<b>DWAYNE CLEVELAND, MICHAEL SMITH,</b>	)	
<b>MARK MURPHY, DOUG BRANCH, LEW</b>	)	
<b>BAXTER, RICKY SMALL, MELVIN SNOW,</b>	)	
<b>GREG PATISAUL and GARY CHAMBLISS,</b>	)	
<b>Individually and on behalf of similarly</b>	)	
<b>situated employees,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiffs,</b>	)	<b>CIVIL ACTION NO.:</b>
	)	<b>3:07-CV-617-MHT</b>
<b>v.</b>	)	
	)	
<b>FLOWERS FOODS, INC., FLOWERS</b>	)	
<b>BAKING CO., OF OPELIKA, LLC, and</b>	)	
<b>FLOWERS BAKING CO. OF</b>	)	
<b>THOMASVILLE, LLC,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendants.</b>	)	

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Pursuant to Rules 15 and 20 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and pursuant to this Court's direction, the Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Honorable Court allow them to file a Second Amended Complaint, join additional persons as plaintiffs to this action as well as add one additional Defendant.

Rule 20(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure sets forth two specific requirements for permissive joinder: "(1) a right to relief must be asserted by, or against, each plaintiff or defendant relating to or arising out of the same transaction or occurrence or series of transactions or occurrences; and (2) some question of law or fact common to all the parties must arise in the action." *Atchison v. Woodmen of the World Ins. Soc.*, 982 F.Supp. 835, 840 (S.D. Ala. 1997), *citing*, *Mosley v. General Motors Corp.*, 497 F.2d

1330, 1333 (8th Cir. 1964). The purpose of this rule is liberally interpreted in favor of joinder. *United Mine Workers v. Gibbs*, 383 U.S. 715, 724, 86 S.Ct. 1130, 1337-38, 16 L.E.2d 218 (1966) (“joinder of claims, parties and remedies is strongly encouraged.”)/

In keeping with the liberal interpretation of the joinder requirements, “there is no strict rule for determining what constitutes the same transaction or series of transactions for purposes of Rule 20(a).” *Atchison*, 982 F.Supp. at 840. Instead, courts look at each case individually to determine whether the claims are logically related, thereby allowing “all reasonably related claims for relief by or against different parties to be tried in a single proceeding.” *Id.*, citing Wright & Miller, *Federal Practice & Procedure* 2d §1653.

As grounds for said motion, Plaintiffs state the following:

1. On July 2, 2007, Plaintiffs filed their Class Action Complaint. After the Class Action Complaint was filed, four Flowers current and former route distributors contacts Plaintiffs’ counsel to be part of the pending lawsuit. These individuals are James Marty Smith, Dwayne Cleveland, Michael Smith, and Mark Murphy.

2. Plaintiffs filed a motion for leave to amend the complaint on September 19, 2007 to add Plaintiffs Murphy, Cleveland, Michael Smith and James Marty Smith.

3. Defendants opposed this motion and filed a response in opposition on September 24, 2007. Plaintiffs’ counsel filed their response on October 17, 2007 to Defendants’ Opposition and are waiting on a determination by this Court.

4. Plaintiffs respectfully submit this Motion to file a Second Amended Complaint because, since the time of filing the original Motion to Amend the Complaint, Plaintiffs’ counsel has been retained by 6 additional class members who are current or

former route distributors, one of which contracted newly-added Defendant Flowers Baking Co. of Thomasville, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Defendant Flowers Foods. All of these individuals like the other Plaintiffs are route distributors and wish to be part of the pending lawsuit. These individuals are Doug Branch, Lew Baxter, Ricky Small, Melvin Snow, Greg Patisaul, and Gary Chambliss.

5. Plaintiffs' proposed Second Amended Complaint also seeks to add Flowers Baking Co. of Thomasville, LLC, as a party to this action as proposed Plaintiff Gary Chambliss contracted with Flowers Baking Co. of Thomasville, LLC for the position of route distributor.

6. The only other proposed addition to the Second Amended Complaint appears at Paragraph 23 to the Second Amended Complaint and identifies from Flowers Foods Inc.'s SEC Form 10-K Flowers Foods, Inc.'s subsidiaries. No other changes have been made to the Second Amended Complaint.

7. The granting of this Motion will not prejudice any party and will not impede the processing of this case. The period for amending the complaint under the scheduling order has not elapsed.

8. Additionally, the individuals mentioned herein are former and current route distributors of Defendants whose claims arise from the same transaction and/or occurrence and there exist common issues of law or fact that these plaintiffs share with all the other plaintiffs in this action. For example, the defendants will likely argue with these additional Plaintiffs like they have already with the existing Plaintiffs that these additional plaintiffs are not entitled to an overtime premium because they are exempt under the Motor Carrier Exemption Act and Outside Salesperson Exemption.

Furthermore, with respect to liquidated damages, the Defendants will likely rely on the same evidence to argue that none of the plaintiffs are entitled to liquidated damages.

9. A copy of Plaintiffs' proposed Second Amended Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

For the reasons set forth herein, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Honorable Court enter an Order granting Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to file the Second Amended Complaint, adding Doug Branch, Lew Baxter, Ricky Small, Melvin Snow, Greg Patisaul, Gary Chambliss and Flowers Baking Co. of Thomasville, LLC, as parties in the above-styled case.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Amy A. Weaver  
Amy A. Weaver, ASB-6878-Y82A

**OF COUNSEL:**

THE LAW OFFICES OF GREG L. DAVIS  
6987 Halcyon Park Drive  
Montgomery, Alabama 36117  
334-832-9080  
[gldavis@knology.net](mailto:gldavis@knology.net)

WHATLEY DRAKE & KALLAS, LLC  
2001 Park Place North, Suite 1000  
Birmingham, Alabama 35203  
Telephone: (205) 328-9576  
Joe R. Whatley, Jr. (ASB-1222-Y69J)  
[jwhatley@wdklaw.com](mailto:jwhatley@wdklaw.com)  
Amy Weaver (ASB-6878-Y82A)  
[aweaver@wdklaw.com](mailto:aweaver@wdklaw.com)

WHATLEY DRAKE & KALLAS, LLC

1540 Broadway, 37<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
212-447-7007  
Joseph P. Guglielmo  
[jguglielmo@wdklaw.com](mailto:jguglielmo@wdklaw.com)

WOOD LAW FIRM, LLC  
2900 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue South, Suite A  
Birmingham, Alabama 35233  
205-612-0243  
E. Kirk Wood (ASB-2937-W55E)  
[ekirkwood1@cs.com](mailto:ekirkwood1@cs.com)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this the 23rd day of October 2007, I electronically filed a copy of the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to:

Sandra B. Reiss  
Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak, & Stewart, P.C.  
One Federal Place, Suite 1000  
1819 Fifth Avenue North  
Birmingham, Alabama 35203-2118  
205-328-1900  
[Sandra.Reiss@odnss.com](mailto:Sandra.Reiss@odnss.com)

Kevin P. Hishta  
Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, P.C.  
600 Peachtree Street, NE  
Suite 2100  
Atlanta, Georgia 30308  
404-881-1300  
[Kevin.Hishta@ogletreedeakins.com](mailto:Kevin.Hishta@ogletreedeakins.com)

/s/ Amy A. Weaver  
Amy A. Weaver  
ASB-6878-Y82A

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA**

**CHARLES MORROW, MICHAEL  
OVERTON, JAMES MARTY SMITH,  
DWAYNE CLEVELAND, MICHAEL SMITH,  
MARK MURPHY, DOUG BRANCH, LEW  
BAXTER, RICKY SMALL, MELVIN SNOW,  
GREG PATISAUL and GARY CHAMBLISS,  
Individually and on behalf of similarly  
situated employees,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**) CIVIL ACTION NO.:  
 ) 3:07-CV-617-MHT  
 ) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**V.**

**FLOWERS FOODS, INC., FLOWERS  
BAKING CO., OF OPELIKA, LLC, and  
FLOWERS BAKING CO. OF  
THOMASVILLE, LLC,**

**Defendants.**

## SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

The named Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of a nationwide class of similarly situated employees, collectively complain against the Defendants Flowers Foods, Inc., Flowers Baking Company of Opelika, LLC, and Flowers Baking Company of Thomasville, LLC, as follows:

## Jurisdiction and Venue

1. This is a collective action brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims because they are brought pursuant to the

Fair Labor Standards Acts, 29 U.S.C. §216(b), and because they raise a federal question pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

2. Venue is proper in this federal judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(a) and (c), because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to these claims occurred within this judicial district, and because Defendants Flowers Baking Company of Opelika, LLC and Flowers Foods, Inc. regularly conduct business within this judicial district and thus is subject to personal jurisdiction within this judicial district.

### **Parties**

3. Plaintiff, Charles Morrow, is over the age of nineteen (19) and worked as a route distributor for Flowers Baking Company of Opelika. He worked out of the Montgomery warehouse located on Norman Bridge Road in Montgomery, Alabama. Mr. Morrow has expressly authorized the filing of this collective action.

4. Plaintiff, Michael Overton, is over the age of nineteen (19) and worked as route distributor for Flowers Baking Company of Opelika. He worked out of the Roanoke warehouse located in Roanoke, Alabama. Mr. Overton has expressly authorized the filing of this collective action.

5. Plaintiff, James Marty Smith, is over the age of nineteen (19) and worked as a route distributor for Flowers Baking Company of Opelika. He worked out of the Roanoke warehouse located in Roanoke, Alabama. Mr. Smith has expressly authorized the filing of this collective action.

6. Plaintiff, Dwayne Cleveland, is over the age of nineteen (19) and currently works as a route distributor for Flowers Baking Company of Opelika. He works out of

the Montgomery warehouse located on Norman Bridge Road in Montgomery, Alabama. Mr. Cleveland has expressly authorized the filing of this collective action.

7. Plaintiff, Michael Smith, is over the age of nineteen (19) and worked as a route distributor for Flowers Baking Company of Opelika. He worked out of the LaGrange warehouse located in LaGrange, Georgia. Mr. Smith has expressly authorized the filing of this collective action.

8. Plaintiff, Mark Murphy, is over the age of nineteen (19) and currently works as a route distributor for Flowers Baking Company of Opelika. He works out of the LaGrange warehouse located in LaGrange, Georgia. Mr. Murphy has expressly authorized the filing of this collective action.

9. Plaintiff, Doug Branch, is over the age of nineteen (19) and currently works as a route distributor for Flowers Baking Company of Opelika. He works out of the Norman Bridge Road warehouse located in Montgomery, Alabama.

10. Plaintiff, Lew Baxter, is over the age of nineteen (19) and currently works as a route distributor for Flowers Baking Company of Opelika. He works out of the Norman Bridge Road warehouse located in Montgomery, Alabama.

11. Plaintiff, Ricky Small, is over the age of nineteen (19) and worked as a route distributor for Flowers Baking Company of Opelika. He worked out of the Greenville warehouse located in Greenville, Alabama.

12. Plaintiff, Melvin Snow, is over the age of nineteen (19) and worked as a route distributor for Flowers Baking Company of Opelika. He worked out of the Greenville warehouse located in Greenville, Alabama.



13. Plaintiff, Greg Patisaul, is over the age of nineteen (19) and worked as a route distributor for Flowers Baking Company of Opelika. He worked out of the LaGrange warehouse in LaGrange, Georgia.

14. Plaintiff Gary Chambliss is over the age of nineteen (19) and worked as a route distributor for Flowers Baking Company of Thomasville, LLC. He works out of the Cottondale warehouse located in Cottondale, Florida.

15. Defendant Flowers Foods, Inc. is a foreign corporation with its principal place of business in Thomasville, Georgia and is incorporated under the laws of Georgia.

16. Defendant Flowers Baking Company of Opelika, LLC, a subsidiary of Flowers Foods, Inc., is headquartered in Opelika, Alabama, and is incorporated under the laws of Alabama.

17. Defendant Flowers Baking Company of Thomasville, LLC, a subsidiary of Flowers Foods, Inc., is headquartered in Thomasville, Georgia and is incorporated under the laws of Georgia.

18. Throughout this Complaint, Defendants Flowers Foods, Inc., Flowers Baking Company of Thomasville, LLC, and Flowers Baking Company of Opelika, LLC, are referred to collectively as "Flowers."

#### **Factual Allegations**

19. Flowers is a leading producer and marketer of packaged bakery foods in the United States. Flowers produces breads, buns, rolls, snack cakes and pastries, which are distributed fresh to foodservice and retail customers in the Southeastern, Southwestern, and mid-Atlantic states.

20. Flowers Foods Inc. has more than 30 bakeries located in Alabama, Georgia, Florida, Louisiana, North Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, and Arkansas.

21. Flower's brand names include Nature's Own, Cobblestone Mill, Bluebird, ButterKrust, Evangeline Maid, Mary Jane, Dandee, European Bakers, Mrs. Freshley's, Bunny, and Sunbeam.

22. Flowers is the third largest wholesale baker in the United States and, most recently, had annual sales of \$1.9 billion in 2006.

23. As stated in Flowers Foods' SEC Form 10-K filing for the fiscal year ending December 30, 2006, Flowers Foods is the parent of the following subsidiaries: Flowers Baking Co. of Miami, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Jacksonville, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Bradenton, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Thomasville, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Villa Rica, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Opelika, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Birmingham, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Tuscaloosa, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Lafayette, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of New Orleans, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Baton Rouge, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Jamestown, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Newton, LLC, Franklin Baking Company, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Lynchburg, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Norfolk, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Morristown, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Nashville, LLC, West Tennessee Baking Co., LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Houston, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of West Virginia, LLC, The Donut House, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Texas, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Denton, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Tyler, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of San Antonio, LLC, Flowers Baking

Co. of El Paso, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of Batesville, LLC, Flowers Baking Co. of West Virginia, LLC, and Derst Baking Company, LLC.

24. At all material times, Flowers has been an employer within the meaning of § 3 (d) of the FLSA.

25. At all material times, Plaintiffs have been employed by Flowers within the meaning of §3 (d) of the FLSA.

26. Before 1994 or 1995, all of Flowers' route salesmen were employees of Flowers and had the same job duties and performed the same job functions as the current route distributors.

27. In or about 1994 or 1995, Flowers eliminated the company-owned position of route salesman and instead, sold territories to route distributors, ostensibly creating an independent contractor position.

28. Many route distributors like plaintiffs are former route salesman employees of Flowers.

29. Territories are exclusive to each route distributor, but Flowers retains the right to enter and negotiate with the route distributor's stores in any territory.

30. The route distributors who were former employees of Flowers were allowed to buy the old Flowers' delivery vans.

31. When the route distributors buy new vans, Flowers recommends a particular dealership and a particular bank for the financing.

32. Flowers provides the route distributors with labels, racks, dollies, and displays.

33. The route distributors usually wear shirts with Flowers' products on them when they are working.

34. In addition to the foregoing, Flowers provided plaintiffs and other route distributors with a hand-held computer that provides the route distributors with the amount of bread to order based on the customers past weekly sales.

35. The hand-held computer lists each stop in the order in which it has to be made, along with the amount to deliver and prints out statements for the route distributor.

36. The hand-held computers are returned to a central location within the warehouse at the end of the day where they sync up with the central office.

37. Flowers requires route distributors to pick up product that is out of code (more than a certain number of days old, which is usually referred to as stales) and take it to the local thrift store on a daily basis. At the thrift store, the route distributor must sort the product into separate types and provide Flowers with a signed stale report.

38. In the event a route distributor consistently has excess stale bread, Flowers will contact the customer and talk to them about cutting back on product in order to have less stales.

39. Plaintiffs and other route distributors were not allowed to carry any other company's product in their van. Flowers does not allow route distributors to carry any competitive products on their routes.

40. Customers pay Flowers and not the route distributors, either by an authorized charge or by check, and route distributors are paid by Flowers directly.

41. Flowers controls the price of the bread. Route distributors cannot change the price or offer discounts to customers as incentive to buy more product.

42. Flowers can change the price or offer discounts to customers in a route distributor's exclusive territory without first consulting that distributor.

**Facts pertaining to Morrow**

43. In 1980, Flowers hired Morrow as a route salesman. He worked as a route salesman from 1980 to 1994.

44. In 1994, Flowers made Morrow an independent contractor. Morrow was a route distributor from 1994 to the end of 2005.

45. In 1994, Morrow purchased a territory and a Flowers delivery van.

46. His duties and responsibilities remained the same as when he was a route salesman.

47. Flowers determined the route and the prices of the products for each customer on his route.

48. Flowers solicited new contracts within his route when a new grocery store or restaurant opened up.

49. On average, Morrow worked 9 to 12 hours a day, 5 days a week, or approximately 45 to 60 hours per week, resulting in overtime for which he was not compensated.

**Facts pertaining to Overton**

50. In or about October 2005, Flowers hired Overton as an extra man to run the route he purchased six months later. He stayed in this position for six months.

51. In or about March 2006, Flowers made Overton an independent contractor. Overton was a route distributor from March 2006 until September 2006.

52. In March 2006, Overton purchased a territory and a Flowers delivery van.

53. His duties and responsibilities remained the same as when he was an extra man.

54. Flowers determined the route and prices of the products for each customer on his route.

55. Flowers solicited new contracts within his route when a new grocery store or restaurant opened up.

56. On average, Overton worked 12 to 14 hours a day, 5 days a week, or approximately 60 to 68 hours per week, resulting in overtime for which he was not compensated.

**Facts pertaining to James Marty Smith**

57. In or about January 2006, Flowers hired Smith as an extra man through a temp agency to provide help running routes. He stayed in this position for 8 months.

58. In or about September 2006, Flowers made Smith an independent contractor. Smith was a route distributor from September 2006 to January 2007.

59. In September 2006, Smith purchased a territory and a Flowers delivery van.

60. His duties and responsibilities remained the same as when he was an extra man.

61. Flowers determined the route and prices of the products for each customer on his route.

62. Flowers solicited new contracts within his route when a new grocery store or restaurant opened up.

63. On average, Smith worked 12 to 14 hours a day, 5 days a week, or approximately 60 to 68 hours per week, resulting in overtime for which he was not compensated.

**Facts pertaining to Cleveland**

64. In or about 2003, Cleveland was hired as an extra man through a temp agency to provide help running routes. He stayed in this position for 3 months.

65. In or about 2003, Flowers made Cleveland an independent contractor. Cleveland has been a route distributor since 2003.

66. In 2003, Cleveland purchased a territory and a Flowers delivery van.

67. His duties and responsibilities remain the same as when he was an extra man.

68. Flowers determines the route and the prices of the products for each customer on his route.

69. Flowers solicits new contracts within his route when a new grocery store or restaurant opened up.

70. On average, Cleveland works between 8.5 and 13.5 hours a day, 5 days a week, or approximately 54 hours per week, resulting in overtime for which he is not compensated.

**Facts pertaining to Michael Smith**

71. In or about 2001, Flowers made Smith an independent contractor. Smith was a route distributor from 2001 to December 2006.

72. In or about 2001, Smith purchased a territory and a Flowers delivery van.

73. Flowers determined the route and the prices of the products for each customer on his route.

74. Flowers solicited new contracts within his route when a new grocery store or restaurant opened up.

75. On average, Smith worked 12 to 13 hours a day, 5 days a week, and a couple hours on both his off days, or approximately 60 to 68 hours a week, resulting in overtime for which he was not compensated.

**Facts pertaining to Murphy**

76. In or about September 2002, Flowers made Murphy an independent contractor. Murphy has been a route distributor since September 2002.

77. In or about September 2002, Smith purchased a territory and a Flowers delivery van.

78. Murphy drove a route that did not cross state lines from September 2002 until the end of 2006 when he began crossing into the state of Alabama for a new store assigned to him by Flowers.

79. Flowers determines the route and the prices of the products for each customer on his route.

80. Flowers solicits new contracts within his route when a new grocery store or restaurant opened up.

81. On average, Murphy works between 10 and 13 hours a day, 5 days a week, or approximately 55 to 58 hours a week, resulting in overtime for which he was not compensated.

**Facts Pertaining to Branch**

82. In or about September 1992, Flowers hired Branch as an employee route salesman. He stayed in this position until 1994.



83. In or about 1994, Flowers made Branch an independent contractor. Branch has been a route distributor since 1994.

84. In or about 1994, Branch purchased a territory and a Flowers delivery van.

85. In or about June 2004, Branch purchased a different territory from Flowers.

86. His duties and responsibilities remained the same as when he was an employee of Flowers.

87. Flowers determines the route and the prices of the products for each customer on his route.

88. Flowers solicits new contracts within his route when a new grocery store or restaurant opens up.

89. On average, Branch works between 8.5 and 12 hours a day, 5 days a week, and occasionally 2 to 4 hours on the two other days, or approximately 42.5 to 68 hours a week, resulting in overtime for which he was not compensated.

#### **Facts Pertaining to Baxter**

90. In or about August 2003, Flowers hired Baxter through a temp agency as an extra man. He stayed in this position until November 2003.

91. In or about November 2003, Flowers made Baxter an independent distributor. Branch has worked as a route distributor since November 2003.

92. In or about November 2003, Baxter purchased a territory and leased a Flowers delivery van.

93. His job and responsibilities remained the same as when he was an employee.

94. In or about December 2005, Baxter sold part of this territory to another route distributor and purchased a van through Flowers' financing subsidiary.

95. Flowers determines the route and the prices of the products for each customer on his route.

96. Flowers solicits new contracts within his route when a new grocery store or restaurant opens up.

97. On average, from November 2003 until December 2005, Baxter worked 14 to 16 hours a day, 5 days a week, or approximately 70 to 80 hours a week, resulting in overtime for which he was not compensated.

98. On average, from December 2005 to the present, Baxter works 10 to 11 hours a day, 5 days a week, or approximately 50 to 55 hours a week, resulting in overtime for which he was not compensated.

#### **Facts Pertaining to Small**

99. In or about February 2000, Flowers hired Small as an employee for training purposes. Small trained for 30 days to become a route distributor.

100. In or about March 2000, Flowers made Small an independent contractor. Small worked as a route distributor from March 2000 until September 2005.

101. In or about March 2000, Small purchased a territory and a Flowers delivery van.

102. Flowers determined the route and the prices of the products for each customer on his route.

103. Flowers solicited new contracts within his route when a new grocery store or restaurant opened up.

104. On average, Small worked 12 to 15 hours per day, 5 days a week, and approximately 4 hours a day on the other two days, or approximately 68 to 83 hours per week, resulting in overtime for which he was not compensated.

**Facts Pertaining to Snow**

105. In or about 1994, Flowers hired Snow through a temp agency for training purposes. He stayed in this position for 3 weeks.

106. In or about 1994, Flowers hired Snow as an independent contractor. Snow worked as a route distributor from 1994 to 2003.

107. In or about March 2004, Flowers hired Snow again as an independent contractor. Snow worked as a route distributor from March 2004 to September 2005.

108. In or about March 2004, Snow purchased another territory and leased a Flowers delivery van.

109. Flowers determined the route and the prices of the products for each customer on his route.

110. Flowers solicited new contracts within his route when a new grocery store or restaurant opened up.

111. On average, Snow worked over 9 to 12 hours per day, 4 days a week, and 6 hours a day, 1 day a week, or approximately 42 to 54 hours per week, resulting in overtime for which he was not compensated.

**Facts Pertaining to Patisaul**

112. In or about October 2004, Flowers hired Patisaul through a temporary agency to provide help running routes. He stayed in this position approximately 5 months.

113. In or about March 2005, Flowers made Patisaul an independent contractor. Patisaul worked as a route distributor from March 2005 to April 2005.

114. In or about March 2005, Patisaul purchased a territory and a Flowers delivery van.

115. Flowers determined the route and the prices of the products for each customer on his route.

116. On average, Patisaul worked 13 to 14 hours per day, 5 days a week, or approximately 67 to 70 hours per week, resulting in overtime for which he was not compensated.

**Facts Pertaining to Chambliss**

117. In or about June 1991, Flowers hired Chambliss as an employee route salesman. He worked as a route salesman from June 1991 to approximately 1994.

118. In 1994, Flowers made Chambliss an independent contractor. Chambliss was a route distributor from 1994 to 2006.

119. In 1994, Chambliss purchased a territory and a Flowers delivery van. These were the same as when he was an employee route salesman.

120. His duties and responsibilities remained the same as when he was a route salesman.

121. Flowers determined the route and the prices of the products for each customer on his route.

122. Flowers solicited new contracts within his route when a new grocery store or restaurant opened up.

123. On average, Chambliss worked 12 to 14 hours a day, 5 days a week, and about 6 hours on the other two days, or approximately 66 to 72 hours per week, resulting in overtime for which he was not compensated.

**Class Allegations**

124. Plaintiffs bring their claim pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216 (b) as a representative action on behalf of the following opt-in class:

All current and former route distributors, or other persons performing a service and/or delivery function on a non-hourly basis, who worked overtime while employed at Flowers Foods subsidiaries, and were not compensated for such overtime work at an amount equaling one and one-half times the employee's regular rate of pay. Such persons shall not include persons who, as part of their duties, cross state lines to make deliveries.

125. The Class, as defined above, is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

126. Plaintiffs are members of the class and their claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as defined.

127. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent the Class and the interests of all members of the Class.

128. Plaintiffs have no interests that are antagonistic to or in conflict with those interests that they have undertaken to represent as Class Representatives.

129. Plaintiffs have retained competent and experienced class action counsel who are able to effectively represent the interests of the entire Class.

130. Questions of law and fact that are common to the Class predominate over any individual questions. Among such common questions of law and fact include, inter alia, the following:

a. Whether Route Distributors are employees subject to Flowers' control and thus entitled to relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act?

b. Whether Route Distributors are entitled to overtime compensation at the rate of one-and-a-half times their regular rate of pay under federal law?

131. There is a community of interest among the Class members in obtaining appropriate declaratory and injunctive relief, damages, and compensation for costs and fees incurred herein.

### **VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS**

#### **ACT 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.***

132. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

133. The FLSA requires that covered employees be compensated for every hour worked in a workweek. *See* 29 U.S.C. §206(b).

134. The Plaintiffs and the class they seek to represent are employees who are not except under the Fair Labor Standards Act. Flowers does not pay the plaintiffs and similarly situated employees time and a half for hours worked over 40 in a given workweek. The failure to pay time and a half for hours worked over 40 in a given workweek violates 29 U.S.C. §207.

135. The defendant Flowers willfully and/or knowingly failed to pay plaintiffs and similarly situated employees time and a half for hours worked over 40 in a given workweek. The plaintiffs and similarly situated employees were damaged as a result of Flowers' willful and/or knowing violation of 29 U.S.C. §207.

136. Pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, specifically 29 U.S.C. §216(b), Defendant Flowers, because it failed to pay employees the required amount of overtime, must reimburse the employees not only for the unpaid overtime wages, but also for liquidated damages in an amount equal to the amount of unpaid overtime wages.

137. Pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §216(b), Plaintiffs are entitled to reimbursement of the costs and attorneys' fees expended if they are successful in prosecuting an action for unpaid overtime wages.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for the following relief against Defendant Flowers:

A. An order permitting this litigation to proceed as a collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

B. Prompt notice, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), of this litigation to all potential members of the collective action;

C. Enter judgment against Defendant and in favor of Plaintiff and others similarly situated, for the amount of unpaid overtime that the Defendants has failed and refused to pay in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act;

D. Find that Defendant's violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act were willful;

E. An injunction prohibiting Defendant Flowers Foods from engaging in future violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act;

F. Liquidated damages to the fullest extent permitted under the Fair Labor Standards Act;

G. Litigation costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees to the fullest extent permitted under the Fair Labor Standards Act; and,

H. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Pursuant to the Seventh Amendment of the United States Constitution, the plaintiffs respectfully request a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Amy A. Weaver  
Amy A. Weaver  
ASB-6878-Y82A

**OF COUNSEL:**

THE LAW OFFICES OF GREG L. DAVIS  
6987 Halcyon Park Drive  
Montgomery, Alabama 36117  
334-832-9080  
[gldavis@knology.net](mailto:gldavis@knology.net)

WHATLEY DRAKE & KALLAS, LLC  
2001 Park Place North, Suite 1000  
Birmingham, Alabama 35203  
Telephone: (205) 328-9576  
Joe R. Whatley, Jr. (ASB-1222-Y69J)  
[jwhatley@wdklaw.com](mailto:jwhatley@wdklaw.com)  
Amy Weaver (ASB-6878-Y82A)  
[aweaver@wdklaw.com](mailto:aweaver@wdklaw.com)

WHATLEY DRAKE & KALLAS, LLC  
1540 Broadway, 37<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
212-447-7007  
Joseph P. Guglielmo  
[jguglielmo@wdklaw.com](mailto:jguglielmo@wdklaw.com)

WOOD LAW FIRM, LLC  
2900 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue South, Suite A  
Birmingham, Alabama 35233



205-612-0243  
E. Kirk Wood (ASB-2937-W55E)  
[ekirkwood1@cs.com](mailto:ekirkwood1@cs.com)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this the 23rd day of October 2007, I electronically filed a copy of the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to:

Sandra B. Reiss  
Christopher W. Deering  
Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak, & Stewart, P.C.  
One Federal Place, Suite 1000  
1819 Fifth Avenue North  
Birmingham, Alabama 35203-2118  
205-328-1900  
[Sandra.Reiss@odnss.com](mailto:Sandra.Reiss@odnss.com)  
[Chris.Deering@odnss.com](mailto:Chris.Deering@odnss.com)

Kevin P. Hishta  
Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, P.C.  
600 Peachtree Street, NE  
Suite 2100  
Atlanta, Georgia 30308  
404-881-1300  
[Kevin.Hishta@ogletreedeakins.com](mailto:Kevin.Hishta@ogletreedeakins.com)

/s/ Amy A. Weaver  
Amy A. Weaver  
ASB-6878-Y82A